

## PROBLEMS FOR 'SUN' READERS TO SOLVE

An Original Proposition at Bridge in Which Aces Don't Take Tricks.

### PUZZLERS AT CHECKERS

Bridge problem No. 373, by S. C. Kinsey, was based upon the rather original proposition that the players on one side were to get the required number of tricks without any of the three aces in play taking a trick, unless their opponents gave them these ace tricks.

The curious thing about the problem is that if it were not for this restriction it would have at least three solutions. As it is there is only one that is sound, and only one way that the condition can be complied with. Here is the distribution:

Clubs are trumps and Z is in the lead. Y and Z want seven tricks, and they agree to take those seven tricks without using an ace, unless A and B give them them.

The solution is for Z to lead the Jack of spades, which Y wins and leads the seven of trumps. It does not matter whether B covers the trump lead or not, as Z must win the second round of trumps in either case.

As A's remaining spade is of no use to him he will probably discard it on the second trump lead. When Z leads the three of spades, which Y wins, and then the lead A is face to face with the principal condition of the solution of the problem.

If A discards a diamond Y will at once throw him into the lead with a heart, upon which Z will discard his small diamond. Now A must give Z two tricks with the two aces. In order to prevent this A discards the ace of hearts on the spade lead.

This turns the attention to B's hand, and Y leads the nine of hearts. If B refuses to win it Y will go right along with the six, which B must win, or he allows Y to make the king and solve the problem at once.

While this play in the heart suit is going on between Y and B it is evident that Z has to throw away both his ace and the queen of diamonds, so that no ace at the table ever takes a trick.

Correct solutions found: Only four persons solved this problem: J. C. Hume, W. P. W. Henry, Andrew and Roscoe C. Harris. All others failed through not complying with the terms, as they made Z deliberately lead an ace and take a trick with it, when there were still three other cards in his hand to lead which were not aces.

It was rather a difficult matter to state the conditions clearly without disclosing the solution, which would have made it no problem at all. The composer twice revised his statement of the condition before the problem finally appeared.

The first idea was to say that Y and Z were not to win a trick with an ace, unless the trick were forced upon them; but as A was the only player who could do this, and the only card he could get in with was the ace of heart, any one could have guessed the solution at a glance, and there would be nothing to it.

It was clearly stated that no ace was to win a trick unless A or B gave it. This does not mean that if Z tried to win a trick with an ace and they could trump it that they must do so. Giving a player a trick that he could not otherwise have made (by leading right up to that suit) is one thing. Allowing him to win tricks when he is in the lead is quite another thing.

Those who tried to solve the problem by leading a small spade, won by Y with the king, and returning a small heart for Z to trump cannot be credited with correct solutions, because after Z leads the trump he must follow with the ace of diamonds, when he is not forced to do so at all. If B refuses to trump it, the ace wins.

This is a violation of the terms of the problem, as B does not give him the trick; Z takes it. Z could have led three other cards that were not aces.

In the correct solution, on the other hand, if A keeps the ace of hearts Z is forced to make tricks with his aces by A's play, because he cannot get rid of the lead, and must make his ace in spite of himself. Two of those who tried in correct solutions noted this point.

Here is something from a composer who never fails to please and who has cracked the problem in a number of propositions imaginable for seven or eight cards. In this one he has added an extra card just to complicate things a bit.

BRIDGE PROBLEM NO. 375. By R. C. Mankowski.

There are no trumps and Z is in the lead. Y and Z want only two tricks out of the nine, but they must get them without any defense.

The distribution of the cards is as follows:

As the Jack nine of hearts; king seven of clubs; ten six four deuce of diamonds; and the six of spades.

A has the queen eight five three deuce of clubs; king four of diamonds; king four of hearts; and the six of spades.

B has the king nine of hearts; ten of clubs; ace queen eight five of diamonds; and the six of spades.

Z has the seven of hearts; Jack nine of clubs; and the six of spades.

There are no trumps and Z is in the lead. Y and Z want only two tricks out of the nine, but they must get them without any defense.

The distribution of the cards is as follows:

As the Jack nine of hearts; king seven of clubs; ten six four deuce of diamonds; and the six of spades.

A has the queen eight five three deuce of clubs; king four of diamonds; king four of hearts; and the six of spades.

B has the king nine of hearts; ten of clubs; ace queen eight five of diamonds; and the six of spades.

Z has the seven of hearts; Jack nine of clubs; and the six of spades.

There are no trumps and Z is in the lead. Y and Z want only two tricks out of the nine, but they must get them without any defense.

The distribution of the cards is as follows:

As the Jack nine of hearts; king seven of clubs; ten six four deuce of diamonds; and the six of spades.

A has the queen eight five three deuce of clubs; king four of diamonds; king four of hearts; and the six of spades.

B has the king nine of hearts; ten of clubs; ace queen eight five of diamonds; and the six of spades.

Z has the seven of hearts; Jack nine of clubs; and the six of spades.

## WHAT WINS POINTS AT ROYAL AUCTION?

Attempts to Arrive at the Comparative Value of Play and Bidding.

### MISTAKES AS A FACTOR

Some recent attempts to arrive at the comparative value of bidding and play at auction have led to the discovery that the results at duplicate must be inconclusive owing to the interference of the scores in the honor column, especially the 15 added for game won, which gives one trick an entirely artificial value.

There also remains the eternal question. Was it the good play or sound bidding of one side or the errors of the opponents that made the difference in the final scores? In a duplicate game of five tables, playing twenty-seven deals, there were only six in which the hand was played on the same declaration, comparing the record kept by a N. and S. pair sitting still and an E. and W. pair going round the room. It was two hearts at one table, three clubs at another; no trump at one table, four spades at another, and so on.

One of the most confusing things in trying to estimate the value of good bidding as compared with good play is the fact that the value of a good hand or going game has on the total score. In some cases a good player will get the game by superior skill, in others he will get it by a lucky guess.

Not knowing anything about his partner's suit, Z led a small heart, with the result that the only opening which would allow B to go game on the hand was as he won A's six with the singleton queen.

But the opening is not all of it. B must play the hand well to take advantage of his opportunity. The beginner would probably rush off to get out the trumps and then try to establish the diamonds, but B leads only one round of trumps, putting dummy in with the ace. Then he leads the ace of hearts and discards a losing club. The rest is easy, as two more rounds of trumps clear up everything.

This gives B 36+125-18-148 on the hand. At other tables all they make is 9 points because they cannot possibly win more than 134 points. Shall we deduct from their 27?

When Z starts with no trump, as he undoubtedly should do, the doubler and the beginner should be able to show the clubs. When B goes two spades, Z knows what to lead, and the queen of clubs never makes, so that a never trumps the club discard, and two clubs of diamonds and a trump save the game.

In comparing the value of bidding and play, to which account are we to charge this difference of 134 points? Shall we call it A's bad double, which allows Y to show the clubs and forces B to bid spades; two things that would never have happened if A passed?

At two tables A passed when Z bid no trump, and A led a diamond, setting the contract for 50 points, easy, as A or B must get in on anything but a diamond. A won the trick with the ace, and made his five club tricks. At most of the tables at which Z had to lead in the dark he started with two diamonds, so as to avoid leading away from suits headed by single honors that are not the ace.

Here is another hand in which the opening lead gives the declarer a chance that he could never have made for himself, but of course he has to know how to take advantage of it:

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

## THE SUN, SUNDAY, APRIL 16, 1916.

Attempts to Arrive at the Comparative Value of Play and Bidding.

### MISTAKES AS A FACTOR

Some recent attempts to arrive at the comparative value of bidding and play at auction have led to the discovery that the results at duplicate must be inconclusive owing to the interference of the scores in the honor column, especially the 15 added for game won, which gives one trick an entirely artificial value.

There also remains the eternal question. Was it the good play or sound bidding of one side or the errors of the opponents that made the difference in the final scores? In a duplicate game of five tables, playing twenty-seven deals, there were only six in which the hand was played on the same declaration, comparing the record kept by a N. and S. pair sitting still and an E. and W. pair going round the room. It was two hearts at one table, three clubs at another; no trump at one table, four spades at another, and so on.

One of the most confusing things in trying to estimate the value of good bidding as compared with good play is the fact that the value of a good hand or going game has on the total score. In some cases a good player will get the game by superior skill, in others he will get it by a lucky guess.

Not knowing anything about his partner's suit, Z led a small heart, with the result that the only opening which would allow B to go game on the hand was as he won A's six with the singleton queen.

But the opening is not all of it. B must play the hand well to take advantage of his opportunity. The beginner would probably rush off to get out the trumps and then try to establish the diamonds, but B leads only one round of trumps, putting dummy in with the ace. Then he leads the ace of hearts and discards a losing club. The rest is easy, as two more rounds of trumps clear up everything.

This gives B 36+125-18-148 on the hand. At other tables all they make is 9 points because they cannot possibly win more than 134 points. Shall we deduct from their 27?

When Z starts with no trump, as he undoubtedly should do, the doubler and the beginner should be able to show the clubs. When B goes two spades, Z knows what to lead, and the queen of clubs never makes, so that a never trumps the club discard, and two clubs of diamonds and a trump save the game.

In comparing the value of bidding and play, to which account are we to charge this difference of 134 points? Shall we call it A's bad double, which allows Y to show the clubs and forces B to bid spades; two things that would never have happened if A passed?

At two tables A passed when Z bid no trump, and A led a diamond, setting the contract for 50 points, easy, as A or B must get in on anything but a diamond. A won the trick with the ace, and made his five club tricks. At most of the tables at which Z had to lead in the dark he started with two diamonds, so as to avoid leading away from suits headed by single honors that are not the ace.

Here is another hand in which the opening lead gives the declarer a chance that he could never have made for himself, but of course he has to know how to take advantage of it:

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

## THE SUN, SUNDAY, APRIL 16, 1916.

Attempts to Arrive at the Comparative Value of Play and Bidding.

### MISTAKES AS A FACTOR

Some recent attempts to arrive at the comparative value of bidding and play at auction have led to the discovery that the results at duplicate must be inconclusive owing to the interference of the scores in the honor column, especially the 15 added for game won, which gives one trick an entirely artificial value.

There also remains the eternal question. Was it the good play or sound bidding of one side or the errors of the opponents that made the difference in the final scores? In a duplicate game of five tables, playing twenty-seven deals, there were only six in which the hand was played on the same declaration, comparing the record kept by a N. and S. pair sitting still and an E. and W. pair going round the room. It was two hearts at one table, three clubs at another; no trump at one table, four spades at another, and so on.

One of the most confusing things in trying to estimate the value of good bidding as compared with good play is the fact that the value of a good hand or going game has on the total score. In some cases a good player will get the game by superior skill, in others he will get it by a lucky guess.

Not knowing anything about his partner's suit, Z led a small heart, with the result that the only opening which would allow B to go game on the hand was as he won A's six with the singleton queen.

But the opening is not all of it. B must play the hand well to take advantage of his opportunity. The beginner would probably rush off to get out the trumps and then try to establish the diamonds, but B leads only one round of trumps, putting dummy in with the ace. Then he leads the ace of hearts and discards a losing club. The rest is easy, as two more rounds of trumps clear up everything.

This gives B 36+125-18-148 on the hand. At other tables all they make is 9 points because they cannot possibly win more than 134 points. Shall we deduct from their 27?

When Z starts with no trump, as he undoubtedly should do, the doubler and the beginner should be able to show the clubs. When B goes two spades, Z knows what to lead, and the queen of clubs never makes, so that a never trumps the club discard, and two clubs of diamonds and a trump save the game.

In comparing the value of bidding and play, to which account are we to charge this difference of 134 points? Shall we call it A's bad double, which allows Y to show the clubs and forces B to bid spades; two things that would never have happened if A passed?

At two tables A passed when Z bid no trump, and A led a diamond, setting the contract for 50 points, easy, as A or B must get in on anything but a diamond. A won the trick with the ace, and made his five club tricks. At most of the tables at which Z had to lead in the dark he started with two diamonds, so as to avoid leading away from suits headed by single honors that are not the ace.

Here is another hand in which the opening lead gives the declarer a chance that he could never have made for himself, but of course he has to know how to take advantage of it:

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.

Z led the eight of diamonds and dummy won the trick with the Jack. Two rounds of spades followed, and then dummy got in with the king of diamonds to make four more spades.

This forced B to keep two hearts, so that he could get back to make the ace and queen of diamonds, so he had to take a chance and blank the club Jack. When A led the heart king, so as to clear the way for the Jack, Y jumped

for the trick and led the club, as that was the only suit Z had not discarded. This saved the game, as B stopped at two odd.

After catching the king of spades, B should have led the ten of hearts, which had every chance of making. Then, if Y leads anything but a club, B makes a little slam. If Y leads the club, B still makes four odd.

The trick that just goes game at duplicate is always worth something over 130 points, and the skill of the expert is always concentrated on getting the bid right. Both the hands just given show careful management in order to get rid of the losing card that would save the game for the opponents. Here is another example of the same thing.

Z dealt and passed. A bid a spade and was left with it. Instead of opening with his four club suit, Y led the ten of diamonds. A won the trick with the king, concealing the Jack, and led the trump, the finesse of the queen getting two rounds and dropping the king.

Instead of trying to put himself in on anything, so as to catch Z's last trump, which would have been the beginner's idea of the hand, A leads the four diamonds from dummy, picking up his own Jack, and follows with another winning diamond, on which he discards the king of clubs.

Then he trumps a club, picks up Z's trump and loses one trick to the ace of hearts at the end. By securing the little slam A makes 50 points more than any other pair in the room. Shall we credit this to good play or to Y's error in the opening lead?

This is an easy game hand at spades. Z dealt next; but at one table, for some reason or other, B took his partner out of the game with no trumps, and got himself into a mess over that diamond suit.